arXiv:0903.4500v1 [hep-th] 26 Mar 2009

Janus Field Theories from Non-Linear BF Theories for Multiple M2-Branes

Shijong Ryang

Department of Physics
Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine
Taishogun, Kyoto 603-8334 Japan
`ryang@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp`

Abstract

We integrate the nonpropagating B_μ gauge field for the non-linear BF Lagrangian describing N M2-branes which includes terms with even number of the totally antisymmetric tensor M^{IJK} in arXiv:0808.2473 and for the two-types of non-linear BF Lagrangians which include terms with odd number of M^{IJK} as well in arXiv:0809:0985. For the former Lagrangian we derive directly the DBI-type Lagrangian expressed by the $SU(N)$ dynamical A_μ gauge field with a spacetime dependent coupling constant, while for the low-energy expansions of the latter Lagrangians the B_μ integration is iteratively performed. The derived Janus field theory Lagrangians are compared.

March, 2009

1 Introduction

Inspired by Bagger and Lambert [1] and Gustavsson [2] (BLG) who constructed the worldvolume theory of multiple coincident M2-branes following earlier works [3, 4], the multiple M2-branes have been extensively studied. The BLG theory is described by a three-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=8$ superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theory with manifest SO(8) R-symmetry based on 3-algebra with a positive definite metric, that is, the unique nontrivial $\mathcal{A}_4$ algebra [5]. However, this Chern-Simons gauge theory expresses two M2-branes on a R^8/Z_2 orbifold [6].

A class of models based on 3-algebra with a Lorentzian metric have been constructed by three groups [7, 8, 9] where the low-energy worldvolume Lagrangian of N M2-branes in flat spacetime is described by a three-dimensional superconformal BF theory for the $su(N)$ Lie algebra. Using a novel Higgs mechanism of ref. [10] the BF membrane theory has been shown to reduce to the three-dimensional maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory whose gauge coupling is the vev of one of the scalar fields [7, 9, 11]. For the prescription of the ghost-like scalar fields a ghost-free formulation has been proposed by introducing a new gauge field for gauging a shift symmetry and then making the gauge choice for decoupling the ghost state [12, 13, 14]. In ref. [15] starting from the maximally supersymmetric three-dimensional Yang-Mills theory and using a non-Abelian duality transformation due to de Wit, Nicolai and Samtleben (dNS) [16], the Lorentzian BLG theory has been reproduced.

The relation between the $\mathcal{N}=6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theory [17] and the $\mathcal{N}=8$ Lorentzian BLG theory has been studied [18, 19, 20, 21]. The various investigations related with the BLG theory have been performed [22, 23, 24, 25]

There has been a construction of a manifestly $SO(8)$ invariant non-linear BF Lagrangian for describing the non-Abelian dynamics of the bosonic degrees of freedom of N coincident M2-branes in flat spacetime, which reduces to the bosonic part of the BF membrane theory for $SU(N)$ group at low energies [26]. This non-linear Lagrangian is an extension of the non-Abelian DBI Lagrangian [27, 28] of N coincident D2-branes and includes only terms with even number of the totally antisymmetric tensor M^{IJK}. Further, two types of non-linear BF Lagrangians have been presented such that they include terms with even and odd number of M^{IJK} [29]. A different kind of non-linear gauged M2-brane Lagrangian has been proposed for the Abelian case [30].

As a related work, it has been shown that starting with the $\mathcal{N}=8$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory on D2-branes and incorporating higher-derivative corrections to lowest nontrivial order, the Lorentzian BF membrane theory including a set of derivative corrections is constructed through a dNS duality [31] (see [32]). The higher-derivative corrections to the Euclidean $\mathcal{A}_4$ BLG theory have been determined [33] by means of the novel Higgs mechanism and also shown to match the result of [31]. The couplings of the worldvolume of multiple M2-branes to the antisymmetric background fluxes have been investigated by using the low-energy Lagrangian for multiple M2-branes [34, 35] as well as the non-linear BF Lagrangian [36]. There have been proposals for the non-linear Lagrangians for describing the M2-brane-anti-M2-brane system [37] and the unstable M3-brane [38].

We will perform the integration over the redundant B_μ gauge field for the non-linear BF Lagrangians of ref. [26] and ref. [29], to see how the Lagrangians are described by the dynamical A_μ gauge field. We will carry out the B_μ integration directly for the non-linear

Lagrangian of ref. [26], while the B_μ integration will be iteratively performed for the two types of non-linear BF Lagrangians of ref. [29]. These three B_μ integrated Lagrangians will be compared.

2 Non-linear BF Lagrangian with even number of M^{IJK}

We consider the non-linear BF Lagrangian for $SU(N)$ group which describes the non-Abelian dynamics of the bosonic degrees of freedom of N M2-branes in flat spacetime [26]

$$\begin{aligned} L &= -T_2 \mathrm{STr}\left(\sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{T_2}\tilde{D}_\mu X^I \tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}\tilde{D}_\nu X^J\right)}(\det\tilde{Q})^{1/4}\right) \\ &+ \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}B_\mu F_{\nu\lambda}\right) + (\partial_\mu X^I_- - \mathrm{Tr}(X^I B_\mu))\partial^\mu X^I_+ \\ &- \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{X_+\cdot X}{X_+^2}\hat{D}_\mu X^I \partial^\mu X^I_+ - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{X_+\cdot X}{X_+^2}\right)^2 \partial_\mu X^I_+ \partial^\mu X^I_+\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $X_+^2 = X_+^I X_+^I$ and the M2-brane tension T_2 is related to the eleven-dimensional Planck length scale l_p as $T_2 = 1/(2\pi)^2 l_p^3$. The two non-dynamical gauge fields A_μ, B_μ and the scalar fields X^I $(I = 1, \cdots, 8)$ are in the adjoint representation of $SU(N)$ and $X^I_\pm$ are $SU(N)$ singlets. The covariant derivative $\tilde{D}_\mu$ is defined by

$$\tilde{D}_\mu X^I = \hat{D}_\mu X^I - \frac{X_+\cdot X}{X_+^2}\partial_\mu X^I_+, \ \hat{D}_\mu X^I = D_\mu X^I - X^I_+ B_\mu, \ D_\mu X^I = \partial_\mu X^I + i[A_\mu, X^I] \tag{2}$$

and the SO(8) tensor $\tilde{Q}^{IJ}$ is given by

$$\tilde{Q}^{IJ} = S^{IJ} + \frac{X^I_+ X^J_+}{X_+^2}(\det S - 1), \qquad S^{IJ} = \delta^{IJ} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{T_2}}\frac{m^{IJ}}{\sqrt{X_+^2}}, \tag{3}$$

where m^{IJ} is expressed as

$$m^{IJ} = X^K_+ M^{IJK}, \qquad M^{IJK} = X^I_+[X^J, X^K] + X^J_+[X^K, X^I] + X^K_+[X^I, X^J]. \tag{4}$$

In (1) $\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}$ denotes the matrix inverse of $\tilde{Q}^{IJ}$ and STr is the symmetrized trace [27]. The non-linear Lagrangian L is invariant under the obvious global SO(8) transformation and the $SU(N)$ gauge transformation associated with the A_μ gauge field, and further the non-compact gauge transformation associated with the B_μ gauge field

$$\delta X^I = X^I_+ \Lambda, \qquad \delta B_\mu = D_\mu \Lambda, \qquad \delta X^I_+ = 0, \qquad \delta X^I_- = \mathrm{Tr}(X^I \Lambda). \tag{5}$$

The terms except for the first non-linear term and the second BF-coupling term in (1) are added to have consistency with the low-energy Lagrangian. In the non-linear Lagrangian L only the symmetric part of $\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}$ is taken into consideration.

We introduce a Lagrange multiplier p to rewrite the square root term in (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} & - \quad T_2\sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{T_2}\tilde{D}_\mu X^I\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}\tilde{D}_\nu X^J\right)}(\det\tilde{Q})^{1/4} \\ & \to \quad \left(\frac{T_2^2}{2p}\det(\eta_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{T_2}\tilde{D}_\mu X^I\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}\tilde{D}_\nu X^J)-\frac{p}{2}\right)(\det\tilde{Q})^{1/4}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where a matrix can be treated as a c-number within the symmetrized trace. Owing to $\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}=\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{JI}$ the relevant tensor is rearranged as

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{T_2}\tilde{D}_\mu X^I\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}\tilde{D}_\nu X^J = g_{\mu\nu}+\tilde{B}_\mu\tilde{B}_\nu, \tag{7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{T_2}\bar{D}_\mu X^I\tilde{P}_{IJ}\bar{D}_\nu X^J, \qquad \bar{D}_\mu X^I = D_\mu X^I - \frac{X_+\cdot X}{X_+^2}\partial_\mu X_+^I, \\ \tilde{B}_\mu &= \sqrt{\frac{X_+^I\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}X_+^J}{T_2}}\left(B_\mu-\frac{\bar{D}_\mu X^I\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}X_+^J}{X_+^K\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{KL}X_+^L}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

with

$$\tilde{P}_{IJ}=\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}-\frac{\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IK}X_+^K X_+^L\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{LJ}}{X_+^M\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{MN}X_+^N}, \tag{9}$$

which is orthogonal to X_+^I as $X_+^I\tilde{P}_{IJ}=0$.

The expression (6) together with (7) is quadratic in B_μ so that the equation of motion for the auxiliary field B_μ is given by

$$g^{\mu\nu}\left(B_\nu-\frac{\bar{D}_\nu X^I\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}X_+^J}{X_+^K\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{KL}X_+^L}\right)=\frac{p}{T_2\det g(X_+^K\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{KL}X_+^L)(\det\tilde{Q})^{1/4}}\left(x^\mu-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda}\right), \tag{10}$$

where

$$x^\mu=\partial^\mu X_+^I P_{IJ}X^J \tag{11}$$

with a projection operator

$$P_{IJ}=\delta_{IJ}-\frac{X_+^I X_+^J}{X_+^2}. \tag{12}$$

Substituting the expression (10) back into the starting Lagrangian accompanied with the replacement (6) and solving the equation of motion for p we get

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \mathrm{STr}\Bigg[-T_2(\det\tilde{Q})^{1/4}\sqrt{-\det g}\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2T_2(X_+^K\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{KL}X_+^L)\sqrt{\det\tilde{Q}}}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma}} \\ &+ \frac{\bar{D}_\mu X^I\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}X_+^J}{X_+^K\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{KL}X_+^L}\left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda}-x^\mu\right)\Bigg]+L_0, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} &= F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{\det g}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}x^{\lambda}, & (14)\\ L_0 &= \partial_\mu X_-^I \partial^\mu X_+^I - \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{X_+\cdot X}{X_+^2}D_\mu X^I \partial^\mu X_+^I - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{X_+\cdot X}{X_+^2}\right)^2 \partial_\mu X_+^I \partial^\mu X_+^I\right). & (15)\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the identity for 3×3 matrices $g_{\mu\nu}+a\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{F}_{\nu\mu}$

$$\det(g_{\mu\nu})\left(1+\frac{1}{2}a^2\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma}\right) = \det(g_{\mu\nu}+a\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}) \qquad (16)$$

to obtain a DBI-type Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}L &= \mathrm{STr}\Bigg[-T_2(\det\tilde{Q})^{1/4}\sqrt{-\det\left(g_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{T_2(X_+^K\tilde{Q}_{KL}^{-1}X_+^L)}(\det\tilde{Q})^{1/4}}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\right)}\\ &+ \frac{\bar{D}_\mu X^I \tilde{Q}_{IJ}^{-1}X_+^J}{X_+^K\tilde{Q}_{KL}^{-1}X_+^L}\left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda}-x^\mu\right)\Bigg] + L_0. \qquad (17)\end{aligned}$$

The inverse matrix of $\tilde{Q}^{IJ}$ in (3) is given by

$$\tilde{Q}_{IJ}^{-1} = P_{IJ} + \frac{X_+^I X_+^J}{X_+^2}\frac{1}{\det S} + \left(\frac{m_0}{1-m_0}\right)^{IJ}, \qquad (18)$$

where an orthogonal relation $m^{IJ}X_+^J = 0$ is used and

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{m_0}{1-m_0}\right)^{IJ} &= m_0^{IJ} + (m_0^2)^{IJ} + (m_0^3)^{IJ} + \cdots,\\ m_0^{IJ} &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{T_2 X_+^2}}m^{IJ} \qquad (19)\end{aligned}$$

with $(m_0^2)^{IJ} = m_0^{IK}m_0^{KJ}$. Since only the symmetric part of matrix $\tilde{Q}_{IJ}^{-1}$ is taken into account in the Lagrangian, the expression (18) is modified to be

$$\tilde{Q}_{IJ}^{-1} = P_{IJ} + \frac{X_+^I X_+^J}{X_+^2}\frac{1}{\det S} + \left(\frac{m_0^2}{1-m_0^2}\right)^{IJ}, \qquad (20)$$

which obeys $\tilde{Q}_{IJ}^{-1} = \tilde{Q}_{JI}^{-1}$ and includes only terms with even number of M^{IJK} as expressed by

$$\left(\frac{m_0^2}{1-m_0^2}\right)^{IJ} = (m_0^2)^{IJ} + (m_0^4)^{IJ} + (m_0^6)^{IJ} + \cdots. \qquad (21)$$

From this expression the following SO(8) invariant factors are simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}X_+^I\tilde{Q}_{IJ}^{-1}X_+^J &= X_+^2\frac{1}{\det S},\\ \bar{D}_\mu X^I\tilde{Q}_{IJ}^{-1}X_+^J &= \bar{D}_\mu X^I X_+^I\frac{1}{\det S} \qquad (22)\end{aligned}$$

and the tensor $\tilde{P}_{IJ}$ in (9) is also given by

$$\tilde{P}_{IJ} = \tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ} - \frac{X^I_+ X^J_+}{X^2_+} \frac{1}{\det S}. \tag{23}$$

The relations in (22) together with $\det \tilde{Q} = (\det S)^2$ make the DBI-type Lagrangian (17) a simple form

$$\begin{aligned} L &= -T_2 \mathrm{STr} \left(\sqrt{-\det \left(g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_2 X^2_+}} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \right)} (\det S)^{1/2} \right) \\ &+ \mathrm{Tr} \left(\frac{\bar{D}_\mu X^I X^I_+}{X^2_+} \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} F_{\nu\lambda} - x^\mu \right) \right) + L_0, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ defined in (8) is rewritten by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{T_2} \bar{D}_\mu X^I \left(P_{IJ} - \frac{1}{T_2 X^2_+} \left(\frac{m^2}{1 + \frac{m^2}{T_2 X^2_+}} \right)^{IJ} \right) \bar{D}_\nu X^J \tag{25}$$

and there is a relation derived from (14)

$$\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\nu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} F_{\nu\lambda} - x^\mu. \tag{26}$$

Thus from the non-linear BF Lagrangian with two nonpropagating gauge fields A_μ, B_μ we have integrated the auxiliary B_μ gauge field to extract the DBI-type Lagrangian expressed in terms of the $SU(N)$ dynamical A_μ gauge field.

Now to perform the low-energy expansion for the non-linear Lagrangian (24), we calculate $\det S^{IJ}$ for 8×8 matrices by making the $1/T_2$ expansion as

$$\det S = 1 + \frac{1}{2T_2 X^2_+} (m^2)^{II} - \frac{1}{4T_2^2 (X^2_+)^2} \left((m^4)^{II} - \frac{1}{2} ((m^2)^{II})^2 \right) + \cdots, \tag{27}$$

where $m^{IJ} = -m^{JI}$ is taken into account and $(m^2)^{II} = -X^2_+ M^{IJK} M^{IJK}/3$. There is the following identity with finite terms for any 3×3 matrices $A_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\eta_{\mu\nu} + A_{\mu\nu}) &= \det \eta \Big(1 + \mathrm{tr}(\eta^{-1} A) - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}(\eta^{-1} A)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathrm{tr}(\eta^{-1} A) \right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{tr}(\eta^{-1} A)^3 - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}(\eta^{-1} A) \mathrm{tr}(\eta^{-1} A)^2 \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

which gives the $1/T_2$ expansion for $\det g_{\mu\nu}$ in (13)

$$\begin{aligned} \det g_{\mu\nu} &= -\Big(1 + \frac{1}{T_2} \bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ} \bar{D}^\mu X^J + \frac{1}{T_2^2} \Big(-\frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ} \bar{D}_\nu X^J \bar{D}^\nu X^K P_{KL} \bar{D}^\mu X^L \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ} \bar{D}^\mu X^J)^2 - \frac{1}{X^2_+} \bar{D}_\mu X^I m^{IK} m^{KJ} \bar{D}^\mu X^J \Big) + O\left(\frac{1}{T_2^3} \right) \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

We see that the $SO(8)$ vectors $\bar{D}_\mu X^I$ are contracted with $(m^2)^{IJ}$ and the projection operator P^{IJ}. It is convenient to express the square root factor including $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ in (13) in terms of $F^\mu \equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda}/2 - x^\mu$ which appears as an interaction $\bar{D}_\mu X^I X_+^I F^\mu / X_+^2$ in (24), and expand it through (25) and (29) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2T_2X_+^2}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma}} = \sqrt{1+\frac{1}{T_2X_+^2\det g}F^\mu F^\nu g_{\mu\nu}} \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{2T_2X_+^2}F^\mu F^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2T_2^2X_+^2}\Bigg(F_\mu F^\mu \bar{D}_\nu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\nu X^J \\
&\quad - F^\mu F^\nu \bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ} \bar{D}_\nu X^J - \frac{1}{4X_+^2}(F_\mu F^\mu)^2\Bigg) + O\left(\frac{1}{T_2^3}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Gathering the expansions (27), (29) and (30) in (24) or (13) we obtain the low-energy effective Lagrangian whose leading part is given by

$$L = -NT_2 + \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{12}M^{IJK}M^{IJK} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\mu X^J + \frac{1}{2X_+^2}F_\mu F^\mu + \frac{1}{X_+^2}\bar{D}_\mu X^I X_+^I F^\mu\right) + L_0, \tag{31}$$

where $F_\mu F^\mu / 2X_+^2$ is alternatively expressed as $-f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu}/4X_+^2$ in terms of $f_{\mu\nu} \equiv F_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}x^\lambda$. This leading Lagrangian shows the Janus field theory with a spacetime dependent coupling constant in ref. [11] (see [39]). This Lagrangian is rewritten by the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
L = -NT_2 + \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg(&\frac{1}{12}M^{IJK}M^{IJK} - \frac{1}{2}D_\mu X^I P_{IJ} D^\mu X^J + \frac{1}{2X_+^2}X^I\partial^\mu X_+^I (X^J \partial_\mu X_+^J \\
&-2D_\mu X^J X_+^J) - \frac{1}{4X_+^2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2X_+^2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda}(D_\mu X^I X_+^I - X^I \partial_\mu X_+^I)\Bigg) + \partial_\mu X_-^I \partial^\mu X_+^I,
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

which is further compactly represented by

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= -NT_2 + \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg(\frac{1}{12}M^{IJK}M^{IJK} - \frac{1}{2}D_\mu X^I D^\mu X^I \\
&+ \frac{1}{2X_+^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda} + D^\mu X^I X_+^I - X^I \partial^\mu X_+^I\right)^2\Bigg) + \partial_\mu X_-^I \partial^\mu X_+^I.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The subleading terms of order $1/T_2$ are derived as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{8T_2}\mathrm{STr}\Bigg[&\frac{1}{(X_+^2)^2}m^{IJ}m^{JK}m^{KL}m^{LI} - \frac{1}{36}((M^{IJK})^2)^2 + 2\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}_\nu X^J \bar{D}^\nu X^K P_{KL}\bar{D}^\mu X^L \\
&-(\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\mu X^J)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(M^{IJK})^2\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\mu X^J + \frac{4}{X_+^2}\bar{D}_\mu X^I m^{IK}m^{KJ}\bar{D}^\mu X^J \\
&+\frac{(F_\mu F^\mu)^2}{(X_+^2)^2} - \frac{F_\mu F^\mu}{3X_+^2}(M^{IJK})^2 + \frac{4F_\mu F_\nu}{X_+^2}\bar{D}^\mu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\nu X^J - \frac{2F_\mu F^\mu}{X_+^2}\bar{D}_\nu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\nu X^J\Bigg].
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The last four terms including $F^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f_{\nu\lambda}/2$ in (34) are expressed in terms of $f_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$\frac{1}{8T_2X_+^2}\mathrm{STr}\Bigg(\frac{1}{4X_+^2}(f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4f_{\mu\nu}f_{\rho\sigma}\eta^{\mu\rho}\bar{D}^\nu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\sigma X^J$$

$$-f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu}\bar{D}_\lambda X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\lambda X^J + \frac{1}{6}(M^{IJK})^2 f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu}\Big), \tag{35}$$

where a $f_{\mu\nu}$ is accompanied with a factor $1/\sqrt{X_+^2}$. The trace is taken symmetrically between all the matrix ingredients $f_{\mu\nu}, \bar{D}_\mu X^I, M^{IJK}$ so that the expression (35) is described by

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{1}{12T_2X_+^2}\mathrm{Tr}\Big[-\tfrac{1}{2}(2f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu}\bar{D}_\lambda X^I\bar{D}^\lambda X^J + f_{\mu\nu}\bar{D}_\lambda X^I f^{\mu\nu}\bar{D}^\lambda X^J)P_{IJ} \qquad (36)\\ &+\Big(2(f_\mu{}^\rho f^{\mu\nu} + f_\mu{}^\nu f^{\mu\rho})\bar{D}_\nu X^I\bar{D}_\rho X^J + f_\mu{}^\rho\bar{D}_\nu X^I f^{\mu\nu}\bar{D}_\rho X^J + f_\mu{}^\nu\bar{D}_\nu X^I f^{\mu\rho}\bar{D}_\rho X^J\Big)P_{IJ}\\ &+\tfrac{1}{8X_+^2}(2f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu}f_{\rho\sigma}f^{\rho\sigma} + f_{\mu\nu}f_{\rho\sigma}f^{\mu\nu}f^{\rho\sigma}) + \tfrac{1}{12}(2f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu}(M^{IJK})^2 + f_{\mu\nu}M^{IJK}f^{\mu\nu}M^{IJK})\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

The potential part in (34) is also expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{24T_2} \quad &\mathrm{Tr}\Big[\frac{1}{(X_+^2)^2}\Big((m^4)^{II} + 2(m^2)^{IJ}(m^2)^{IJ}\Big)\\ - \quad &\frac{1}{36}\Big(M^{IJK}M^{LMN}M^{IJK}M^{LMN} + 2((M^{IJK})^2)^2\Big)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Here we write down the remaining terms

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{1}{12T_2}\mathrm{Tr}\Big[\bar{D}_\mu X^I\bar{D}_\nu X^J\bar{D}^\nu X^K\bar{D}^\mu X^L + \bar{D}_\mu X^I\bar{D}_\nu X^K\bar{D}^\nu X^J\bar{D}^\mu X^L + \bar{D}_\mu X^I\bar{D}_\nu X^K\bar{D}^\mu X^L\bar{D}^\nu X^J\\ &\qquad -\bar{D}_\mu X^I\bar{D}^\mu X^J\bar{D}_\nu X^K\bar{D}^\nu X^L - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{D}_\mu X^I\bar{D}_\nu X^K\bar{D}^\mu X^J\bar{D}^\nu X^L\Big]P_{IJ}P_{KL} \qquad (38)\\ &+\tfrac{1}{12T_2}\mathrm{Tr}\Big[\tfrac{2(m^2)^{IJ}}{X_+^2}(\bar{D}_\mu X^I\bar{D}^\mu X^J + \bar{D}_\mu X^J\bar{D}^\mu X^I) - \tfrac{1}{X_+^2}(\bar{D}_\mu X^I m^{IK}\bar{D}^\mu X^J m^{JK}\\ &+m^{KI}\bar{D}_\mu X^I m^{KJ}\bar{D}^\mu X^J) + \tfrac{1}{6}(2(M^{LMN})^2\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\mu X^J + M^{LMN}\bar{D}_\mu X^I M^{LMN}\bar{D}^\mu X^J P_{IJ})\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

3 Two non-linear BF Lagrangians with even and odd number of M^{IJK}

There are propositions of two types of non-linear BF Lagrangians for multiple M2-branes, which include terms with even number as well as odd number of M^{IJK} [29]. One type is presented by

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 \;=\; & -T_2\mathrm{STr}\left(\sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{T_2}\tilde{D}_\mu X^I\tilde{R}^{IJ}\tilde{D}_\nu X^J\right)}(\det S_1)^{1/4}\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\left(\mathrm{Tr}(B_\mu F_{\nu\lambda}) - \frac{i}{T_2}\mathrm{STr}(\tilde{D}_\mu X^K\tilde{D}_\nu X^I M^{IKN}(S_1^{-1})^{NJ}\tilde{D}_\lambda X^J)\right) \qquad (39)\\ &+ (\partial_\mu X_-^I - \mathrm{Tr}(X^I B_\mu))\partial^\mu X_+^I - \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{X_+\cdot X}{X_+^2}\hat{D}_\mu X^I\partial^\mu X_+^I - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{X_+\cdot X}{X_+^2}\right)^2\partial_\mu X_+^I\partial^\mu X_+^I\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the symmetric tensor $\tilde{R}^{IJ}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}^{IJ} &= (S_1^{-1})^{IJ} + \frac{X_+^I X_+^J}{X_+^2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det S_1}} - 1\right), \\ S_1^{IJ} &= \delta^{IJ} - \frac{1}{T_2} M^{IKM} M^{JKN}\left(\frac{X_+^M X_+^N}{X_+^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Because of $\det S_1 = (\det S)^2$ the symmetric tensor $\tilde{R}^{IJ}$ is identical to $\tilde{Q}^{-1}_{IJ}$ in (20), and $(\det S_1)^{1/4} = (\det \tilde{Q})^{1/4}$, so that the Lagrangian L_1 except for terms with odd number of M^{IJK} reduces to L in (1). For this topological BF Lagrangian we consider the integration over the B_μ gauge field to obtain a dynamical gauge theory Lagrangian. Since the type one Lagrangian L_1 contains not only the mass term of B_μ but also the cubic term, we cannot perform the B_μ integration directly. Instead, we begin to make the low-energy expansion for the non-linear term in (39) up to $1/T_2$ order

$$\begin{aligned} &- \; T_2 N + \mathrm{STr}\Big[-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{D}_\mu X^I \tilde{D}^\mu X^I + \frac{1}{4}A^{II} \\ &+ \; \frac{1}{T_2}\Big(-Z(B_\mu) + \frac{1}{8}(A^{II}\tilde{D}_\mu X^J \tilde{D}^\mu X^J + A^{IJ}A^{JI} - \frac{1}{4}(A^{II})^2)\Big)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A^{IJ} &= M^{IKM} M^{JKN}\left(\frac{X_+^M X_+^N}{X_+^2}\right) = -\frac{1}{X_+^2}(m^2)^{IJ}, \\ Z(B_\mu) &= \frac{1}{8}\Big((\tilde{D}_\mu X^I \tilde{D}^\mu X^I)^2 - 2\tilde{D}_\mu X^I \tilde{D}_\nu X^I \tilde{D}^\nu X^J \tilde{D}^\mu X^J \\ &+ \; 4\tilde{D}_\mu X^I\left(A^{IJ} + \frac{X_+^I X_+^J}{2X_+^2}A^{KK}\right)\tilde{D}^\mu X^J\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The algebraic equation of motion for B_μ reads

$$\begin{aligned} X_+^I(\bar{D}^\mu X^I \; &- \; X_+^I B^\mu) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda} - x^\mu \\ &= \; \frac{1}{T_2}\left(\frac{1}{4}A^{II}X_+^J(\bar{D}^\mu X^J - X_+^J B^\mu) + \frac{\delta Z}{\delta B_\mu} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\rho\nu\lambda}\frac{\delta X_{\rho\nu\lambda}}{\delta B_\mu}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

with $X_{\rho\nu\lambda}(B^\mu) = \tilde{D}_\rho X^K \tilde{D}_\nu X^I M^{IKJ} \tilde{D}_\lambda X^J$. The solution can be iteratively derived by $B^\mu = B_0^\mu + B_1^\mu / T_2$, with

$$B_0^\mu = \frac{1}{X_+^2}(X_+^I \bar{D}^\mu X^I + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda} - x^\mu) \tag{44}$$

and

$$B_1^\mu = -\frac{1}{X_+^2}\left(\frac{1}{4}A^{II}X_+^J(\bar{D}^\mu X^J - X_+^J B_0^\mu) + \frac{\delta Z}{\delta B_\mu}\Big|_{B_0^\mu} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\rho\nu\lambda}\frac{\delta X_{\rho\nu\lambda}}{\delta B_\mu}\Big|_{B_0^\mu}\right), \tag{45}$$

where the expression of B_0^μ (44) is inserted into the last two derivative terms. Substituting this solution back into the low-energy Lagrangian of L_1 (39) we obtain the same leading Lagrangian as (31) through a relation

$$\bar{D}^\mu X^I - X_+^I B_0^\mu = P^{IJ} \bar{D}^\mu X^J - \frac{1}{X_+^2} X_+^I F^\mu \tag{46}$$

and the following correction terms of order $1/T_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T_2} \mathrm{STr} \Bigg(& (\bar{D}_\mu X^I - X_+^I B_{0\mu}) X_+^I B_1^\mu + \frac{1}{8} A^{II} (\bar{D}_\mu X^J - X_+^J B_{0\mu})(\bar{D}^\mu X^J - X_+^J B_0^\mu) \\ & + \frac{1}{8}(A^{IJ} A^{JI} - \frac{1}{4}(A^{II})^2) - Z(B_0^\mu) + F^\mu B_{1\mu} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} X_{\mu\nu\lambda}(B_0^\mu) \Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

The subleading terms except for the terms including $X_{\mu\nu\lambda}(B_0^\mu)$ and $\delta X_{\rho\nu\lambda}/\delta B_\mu|_{B_0^\mu}$ are described by

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{T_2} \quad & \mathrm{STr} \Bigg[\frac{1}{8} \left(A^{KK} \bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ} \bar{D}^\mu X^J - A^{II} \frac{F_\mu F^\mu}{X_+^2} + A^{IJ} A^{JI} - \frac{1}{4}(A^{II})^2 \right) \\ + \quad & \frac{1}{4} \left(\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ} \bar{D}_\nu X^J \bar{D}^\nu X^K P_{KL} \bar{D}^\mu X^L + \frac{2 F_\mu F_\nu}{X_+^2} \bar{D}^\mu X^I P_{IJ} \bar{D}^\nu X^J + \frac{(F_\mu F^\mu)^2}{(X_+^2)^2} \right) \\ - \quad & \frac{1}{8} \left((\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ} \bar{D}^\mu X^J)^2 + \frac{2 F_\mu F^\mu}{X_+^2} \bar{D}_\nu X^I P_{IJ} \bar{D}^\nu X^J + \frac{(F_\mu F^\mu)^2}{(X_+^2)^2} \right) \\ + \quad & \frac{1}{2 X_+^2} \bar{D}_\mu X^I m^{IK} m^{KJ} \bar{D}^\mu X^J \Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

It is noted that the $SO(8)$ vectors $\bar{D}_\mu X^I$ are contracted with $(m^2)^{IJ}$ and the projection operator P_{IJ} which is due to (46). The expression (48) is confirmed to agree with (34). Thus we have observed that these subleading terms obtained by the iterative procedure for the B_μ integration in the low-energy Lagrangian reproduces the previous expression (34) which is derived by the low-energy expansion of the effective DBI-type Lagrangian generated by the exact B_μ integration.

The remaining terms lead to

$$\begin{aligned} - \quad & \frac{i}{2T_2} \mathrm{STr} \left(\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} X_{\mu\nu\lambda}(B_0^\mu) + \epsilon^{\rho\nu\lambda} \frac{\delta X_{\rho\nu\lambda}}{\delta B_\mu}\Big|_{B_0^\mu} \left(\frac{X_+^I}{X_+^2} (\bar{D}_\mu X^I - X_+^I B_{0\mu}) + \frac{1}{X_+^2} F_\mu \right) \right) \\ = \quad & \frac{i}{2T_2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \mathrm{STr} \left(\tilde{M}^{IJK} \bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{D}_\nu X^J \bar{D}_\lambda X^K - \frac{3 m^{IJ}}{X_+^2} F_\mu \bar{D}_\nu X^I \bar{D}_\lambda X^J \right), \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where $\tilde{M}^{IJK}$ is a totally antisymmetric tensor defined by

$$\tilde{M}^{IJK} = M^{IJK} - \frac{1}{X_+^2} (m^{IJ} X_+^K + m^{JK} X_+^I + m^{KI} X_+^J), \tag{50}$$

which is orthogonal to X_+^I as $\tilde{M}^{IJK} X_+^I = 0$. This expression including single M^{IJK} is rewritten by

$$\frac{i}{2T_2} \mathrm{STr} (\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \tilde{M}^{IJK} \bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{D}_\nu X^J \bar{D}_\lambda X^K + 3 m^{IJ} f^{\mu\nu} \bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{D}_\nu X^J). \tag{51}$$

We see that there is a coupling between the gauge field strength $F^{\mu\nu}$ and $m^{IJ}\bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{D}_\nu X^J$. The symmetrization in (51) is taken as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{i}{2T_2}\mathrm{Tr}(\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{M}^{IJK}\bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{D}_\nu X^J \bar{D}_\lambda X^K + m^{IJ} f^{\mu\nu}\bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{D}_\nu X^J \\
&\qquad\qquad +m^{IJ}\bar{D}_\mu X^I f^{\mu\nu}\bar{D}_\nu X^J + m^{IJ}\bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{D}_\nu X^J f^{\mu\nu}),
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where the first term remains intact.

Now we turn to the other type of non-linear Lagrangian for non-Abelian BF membranes

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 &= -T_2\mathrm{STr}\left(\sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{T_2}\tilde{D}_\mu X^I (S_2^{-1})^{IJ}\tilde{D}_\nu X^J\right)}(\det S_2)^{1/6}\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\left(\mathrm{Tr}(B_\mu F_{\nu\lambda}) - \frac{i}{T_2}\mathrm{STr}(\tilde{D}_\mu X^K \tilde{D}_\nu X^I M^{IKN}(S_2^{-1})^{NJ}\tilde{D}_\lambda X^J)\right) \\
&+ (\partial_\mu X^I_- - \mathrm{Tr}(X^I B_\mu))\partial^\mu X^I_+ - \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{X_+\cdot X}{X_+^2}\hat{D}_\mu X^I \partial^\mu X^I_+ - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{X_+\cdot X}{X_+^2}\right)^2 \partial_\mu X^I_+ \partial^\mu X^I_+\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where the symmetric tensor S_2^{IJ} is defined by

$$S_2^{IJ} = \delta^{IJ} - \frac{1}{2T_2}B^{IJ} \tag{54}$$

with $B^{IJ} = M^{IKM}M^{JKM} \equiv (M^2)^{IJ}$. We make the following low-energy expansion for the non-linear term in (53)

$$\begin{aligned}
&- T_2 N + \mathrm{STr}\Bigg[-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{D}_\mu X^I \tilde{D}^\mu X^I + \frac{1}{12}B^{II} \\
&+ \frac{1}{T_2}\left(-W(B_\mu) + \frac{1}{24}\left(B^{II}\tilde{D}_\mu X^J \tilde{D}^\mu X^J + \frac{1}{2}B^{IJ}B^{JI} - \frac{1}{12}(B^{II})^2\right)\right)\Bigg],
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where

$$W(B_\mu) = \frac{1}{8}\left((\tilde{D}_\mu X^I \tilde{D}^\mu X^I)^2 - 2\tilde{D}_\mu X^I \tilde{D}_\nu X^I \tilde{D}^\nu X^J \tilde{D}^\mu X^J + 2\tilde{D}_\mu X^I B^{IJ}\tilde{D}^\mu X^J\right). \tag{56}$$

The algebraic equation of motion for B_μ is also given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&X^I_+ \quad (\bar{D}^\mu X^I - X^I_+ B^\mu) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda} - x^\mu \\
&= \frac{1}{T_2}\left(\frac{1}{12}B^{II}X^J_+(\bar{D}^\mu X^J - X^J_+ B^\mu) + \frac{\delta W}{\delta B_\mu} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\rho\nu\lambda}\frac{\delta X_{\rho\nu\lambda}}{\delta B_\mu}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

whose solution is iteratively derived by $B^\mu = B^\mu_0 + \tilde{B}^\mu_1/T_2$ where B^μ_0 is the same expression as (44) and $\tilde{B}^\mu_1$ is

$$\tilde{B}^\mu_1 = -\frac{1}{X_+^2}\left(\frac{1}{12}B^{II}X^J_+(\bar{D}^\mu X^J - X^J_+ B^\mu_0) + \frac{\delta W}{\delta B_\mu}\Big|_{B^\mu_0} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\rho\nu\lambda}\frac{\delta X_{\rho\nu\lambda}}{\delta B_\mu}\Big|_{B^\mu_0}\right). \tag{58}$$

The substitution of this solution into the low-energy Lagrangian of L_2 (53) yields the same leading Lagrangian as (31) and the following subleading terms of order $1/T_2$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T_2}\mathrm{STr}\biggl(&(\bar{D}_\mu X^I - X^I_+ B_{0\mu})X^I_+ \tilde{B}^\mu_1 + \frac{1}{24}B^{II}(\bar{D}_\mu X^J - X^J_+ B_{0\mu})(\bar{D}^\mu X^J - X^J_+ B^\mu_0) \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{48}(B^{IJ}B^{JI} - \frac{1}{6}(B^{II})^2) - W(B^\mu_0) + F^\mu \tilde{B}_{1\mu} - \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}X_{\mu\nu\lambda}(B^\mu_0)\biggr).\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

We use an identity $B^{II} = 3A^{II}$ to express (59) as sum of (49) and

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{T_2}\quad & \mathrm{STr}\biggl[\frac{1}{8}\left(A^{KK}\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\mu X^J - A^{II}\frac{F_\mu F^\mu}{X^2_+} + \frac{1}{6}(M^2)^{IJ}(M^2)^{JI} - \frac{1}{4}(A^{II})^2\right) \\ + \quad & \frac{1}{4}\left(\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}_\nu X^J \bar{D}^\nu X^K P_{KL}\bar{D}^\mu X^L + \frac{2F_\mu F_\nu}{X^2_+}\bar{D}^\mu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\nu X^J + \frac{(F_\mu F^\mu)^2}{(X^2_+)^2}\right) \\ - \quad & \frac{1}{8}\left((\bar{D}_\mu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\mu X^J)^2 + \frac{2F_\mu F^\mu}{X^2_+}\bar{D}_\nu X^I P_{IJ}\bar{D}^\nu X^J + \frac{(F_\mu F^\mu)^2}{(X^2_+)^2}\right) \\ - \quad & \frac{1}{4}\bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{M}^{IMN}\bar{M}^{JMN}\bar{D}^\mu X^J\biggr],\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where $\bar{M}^{IMN} = P^{IK}M^{KMN}$ and a relation $\bar{M}^{IMN}m^{MN} = 0$ is used. The $1/T_2$ corrections show almost similar expressions to (48) with two different terms which are a potential term $(M^2)^{IJ}(M^2)^{JI}/6$ and an interaction term $-\bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{M}^{IMN}\bar{M}^{JMN}\bar{D}^\mu X^J/4$.

4 Conclusion

Without resort to the low-energy expansion we have performed the integration over one Chern-Simons nonpropagating B_μ gauge field exactly for the non-linear Lagrangian of the BF membrane theory in ref. [26], which includes terms with even number of M^{IJK}. We have observed that there appears a non-linear DBI-type Lagrangian for the worldvolume theory of N M2-branes where the other Chern-Simons A_μ gauge field is promoted to the $SU(N)$ dynamical propagating gauge field.

In the non-linear DBI-type Lagrangian the coefficient factor of the modified field strength $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ takes a compact form $1/\sqrt{T_2 X^2_+}$ which yields the kinetic term of gauge field $-F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}/4X^2_+$ with a space-time dependent coupling field X^I_+ in the leading low-energy expansion. In the same way the linear term of F^μ also takes a compact interaction $\bar{D}_\mu X^I X^I_+ F^\mu / X^2_+$. The subleading terms including dynamical gauge field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ are expressed in terms of F^μ or alternatively $f_{\mu\nu}$ which is a specific combination of $F_{\mu\nu}$ and an $SO(8)$ invariant contraction of scalar fields with the projection operator $\partial^\mu X^I_+ P_{IJ} X^J$. In the subleading terms the $SO(8)$ vectors $\bar{D}_\mu X^I$ are contracted with P^{IJ} and $(m^2)^{IJ}$ consisting of two M^{IJK}, which are orthogonal to X^I_+. This Lagrangian is regarded as the non-linear extension of the Janus field theory Lagrangian in ref. [11].

For the two types of non-linear BF Lagrangians in ref. [29] which include terms with even and odd number of M^{IJK}, we have made the low-energy expansion and then carried out the

B_μ integration by solving its equation of motion in the presence of the $1/T_2$ order corrections through an iterative procedure. In the type one Lagrangian L_1 we have demonstrated that there appear indeed various terms at order $1/T_2$ in the iteratively B_μ integrated effective Lagrangian, but they except for terms with odd number of M^{IJK} are reshuffled to be in agreement with the $1/T_2$ order terms in the low-energy expansion of the above exactly B_μ integrated Lagrangian of the DBI form. In the type two Lagrangian L_2 the effective Lagrangian has been observed to have almost similar $1/T_2$ order corrections except for two terms, where $\bar{D}_\mu X^I$ are contracted with P^{IJ} as well as $\bar{M}^{IMN}\bar{M}^{JMN}$ which is also orthogonal to X^I_+. The remaining terms including single M^{IJK} in both effective Lagrangians consist of two kinds of interactions specified by $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{M}^{IJK}\bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{D}_\nu X^J \bar{D}_\lambda X^K$ and $m^{IJ} f^{\mu\nu}\bar{D}_\mu X^I \bar{D}_\nu X^J$ where the SO(8) vectors $\bar{D}_\mu X^I$ are contracted with the tensors $\tilde{M}^{IJK}$ and m^{IJ} which are orthogonal to X^I_+.

References

[1] J. Bargger and N. Lambert, "Modeling multiple M2's," Phys. Rev. **D75**, 045020 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611108]; "Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes," Phys. Rev. **D77**, 065008 (2008) [arXiv:0711.0955[hep-th]]; "Comments on multiple M2-branes," JHEP **0802**,105 (2008) [arXiv:0712.3738[hep-th]].

[2] A. Gustavsson, "Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes," Nucl. Phys. **B811**, 66 (2009) [arXiv:0709.1260[hep-th]]; "Selfdual strings and loop space Nahm equations," JHEP **0804**, 083 (2008) [arXiv:0802.3456[hep-th]].

[3] J.H. Schwarz, "Superconformal Chern-Simons theories," JHEP **0411**, 078 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0411077].

[4] A. Basu and J.A. Harvey, "The M2-M5 brane system and a generalized Nahm's equation," Nucl. Phys. **B713**, 136 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412310].

[5] G. Papadopoulos, "M2-branes, 3-Lie algebras and Plucker relations," JHEP **0805**, 054 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2662[hep-th]]; J.P. Gauntlett and J.B. Gutowski, "Constraining maximally supersymmetric membrane actions," arXiv:0804.3078[hep-th].

[6] M. Van Raamsdonk, "Comments on the Bagger-Lambert theory and multiple M2-branes," JHEP **0805**, 105 (2008) [arXiv:0803.3803[hep-th]]; N. Lambert and D. Tong, "Membranes on an orbifold," Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 041602 (2008) [arXiv:0804.1114[hep-th]]; J. Distler, S. Mukhi, C. Papageorgakis and M. Van Raamsdonk, "M2-branes on M-folds," JHEP **0805**, 038 (2008) [arXiv:0804.1256[hep-th]].

[7] J. Gomis, G. Milanesi and J.G. Russo, "Bagger-Lambert theory for general Lie algebras," JHEP **0806**, 075 (2008) [arXiv:0805.1012[hep-th]].

[8] S. Benvenuti, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, E. Tonni and H. Verlinde, "$\mathcal{N} = 8$ superconformal gauge theories and M2 branes," JHEP **0901**, 078 (2009) [arXiv:0805.1087[hep-th]].

[9] P.-M. Ho, Y. Imamura and Y. Matsuo, “M2 to D2 revisited,” JHEP **0807**, 003 (2008) [arXiv:0805.1202[hep-th]].

[10] S. Mukhi and C. Papageorgakis, “M2 to D2,” JHEP **0805**, 085 (2008) [arXiv:0803.3218[hep-th]].

[11] Y. Honma, S. Iso, Y. Sumitomo and S. Zhang, “Janus field theories from multiple M2 branes,” Phys. Rev. **D78**, 025027 (2008) [arXiv:0805.1895[hep-th]].

[12] M.A. Bandres, A.E. Lipstein and J.H. Schwarz, “Ghost-free superconformal action for multiple M2-branes,” JHEP **0807**, 117 (2008) [arXiv:0806.0054[hep-th]].

[13] J. Gomis, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, M. Van Raamsdonk and H. Verlinde, “Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory from Lorentzian three-algebras,” JHEP **0808**, 094 (2008) [arXiv:0806.0738[hep-th]].

[14] H. Verlinde, “D2 or M2 ? A note on membrane scattering,” arXiv:0807.2121[hep-th].

[15] B. Ezhuthachan, S. Mukhi and C. Papageorgakis, “D2 to D2,” JHEP **0807**, 041 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1639[hep-th]].

[16] H. Nicolai and H. Samtleben, “Chern-Simons vs. Yang-Mills gaugings in three dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. **B668**, 167 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0303213]; B. de Wit, H. Nicolai and H. Samtleben, “Gauged supergravities in three dimensions: A panoramic overview,” arXiv:hep-th/0403014.

[17] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, “$\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal Chen-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals,” JHEP **0810**, 091 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1218[hep-th]].

[18] Y. Honma, S. Iso, Y. Sumitomo and Z. Zhang, “Scaling limit of $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal Chern-Simons theories and Lorentzian Bagger-Lambert theories,” Phys. Rev. **D78**, 105011 (2008) [arXiv:0806.3498[hep-th]]; “Generalized conformal symmetry and recovery of SO(8) in multiple M2 and D2 branes,” arXiv:0807.3825[hep-th].

[19] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Three-algebras and $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Chern-Simons gauge theories,” Phys. Rev. **D79**, 025002 (2009) [arXiv:0807.0163[hep-th]].

[20] Y. Pang and T. Wang, “From N M2’s to N D2’s,” Phys. Rev. **D78**, 125007 (2008) [arXiv:0807.1444[hep-th]].

[21] E. Antonyan and A.A. Tseytlin, “On 3d $\mathcal{N} = 8$ Lorentzian BLG theory as a scaling limit of 3d superconformal $\mathcal{N} = 6$ ABJM theory,” Phys. Rev. **D79**, 046002 (2009) [arXiv:0811.1540[hep-th]].

[22] P.-M. Ho, R.-C. Hou and Y. Matsuo, “Lie 3-algebra and multiple M2-branes,” JHEP **0806**, 020 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2110[hep-th]]; P.-M. Ho and Y. Matsuo, “M5 from M2,” JHEP **0806**, 105 (2008) [arXiv:0804.3629[hep-th]]; P.-M. Ho, Y. Imamura Y. Matsuo

and S. Shiba, “M5-brane in three-form flux and multiple M2-branes,” JHEP **0808**, 014 (2008) [arXiv:0805.2898[hep-th]]; J.H. Park and C. Sochichiu, “Single M5 to multiple M2: taking off the square root of Nambu-Goto action,” arXiv:0806.0335[hep-th]; I.A. Bandos and P.K. Townsend, “Light-cone M5 and multiple M2-branes,” Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 245003 (2008) [arXiv:0806.4777[hep-th]]; “SDiff gauge theory and the M2 condensate,” JHEP **0902**, 013 (2009) [arXiv:0808.1583[hep-th]].

[23] A. Morozov, “On the problem of multiple M2 branes,” JHEP **0805**, 076 (2008) [arXiv:0804.0913[hep-th]]; U. Gran, B.E.W. Nilsson and C. Petersson, “On relating multiple M2 and D2-branes,” JHEP **0810**, 067 (2008) [arXiv:0804.1784[hep-th]]; E.A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo and O. Hohm, “Multiple M2-branes and the embedding tensor,” Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 142001 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2201[hep-th]]; S. Banerjee and A. Sen, “Interpreting the M2-brane action,” arXiv:0805.3930[hep-th]; S. Cecotti and A. Sen, “Coulomb branch of the Lorentzian three algebra theory,” arXiv:0806.1990[hep-th]; E.A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, O. Hohm and D. Roet, “Multiple membranes from gauged supergravity,” JHEP **0808**, 091 (2008) [arXiv:0806.2584[hep-th]].

[24] H. Lin, “Kac-Moody extensions of 3-algebras and M2-branes,” JHEP **0807**, 136 (2008) [arXiv:0805.4003[hep-th]]; P. de Medeiros, J. Figueroa-O’Farrill and E. Mendez-Escobar, “Lorentzian Lie 3-algebras and their Bagger-Lambert moduli space,” JHEP **0807**, 111 (2008) [arXiv:0805.4363[hep-th]]; “Metric Lie 3-algebras in Bagger-Lambert theory,” JHEP **0808**, 045 (2008) [arXiv:0806.3242[hep-th]]; M. Ali-Akbari, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari and J. Simon, “The relaxed three-algebras: their matrix representations and implications for multi M2-brane theory,” JHEP **0812**, 037 (2008) [arXiv:0807.1570[hep-th]]; S. Cherkis and C. Saemann, “Multiple M2-branes and generalized 3-Lie algebra,” Phys. Rev. **D78**, 066019 (2008) [arXiv:0807.0808[hep-th]]; S. Cherkis, V. Dotsenko and C. Saemann, “On superspace actions for multiple M2-branes, metric 3-algbras and their classification,” arXiv:0812.3127[hep-th]; C.I. Lazroiu, D. McNamee, C. Saemann and A. Zejak, “Strong homotopy Lie algebras, generalized Nahm equations and multiple M2-branes,” arXiv:0901.3905[hep-th].

[25] P.-M. Ho, Y. Matsuo and S. Shiba, “Lorentzian Lie (3-)algebra and toroidal compactification of M/string theory,” JHEP **0903**, 045 (2009) [arXiv:0901.2003[hep-th]]; P. de Medeiros, J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, E. Mendez-Escobar and P. Ritter, “Metric 3-Lie algebras for unitary Bagger-Lambert theories,” arXiv:0902.4674[hep-th].

[26] R. Iengo and J.G. Russo, “Non-linear theory for multiple M2 branes,” JHEP **0810**, 030 (2008) [arXiv:0808.2473[hep-th]].

[27] A.A. Tseytlin, “On non-Abelian generalisation of the Born-Infeld action in string theory,” Nucl. Phys. **B501**, 41 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9701125].

[28] R.C. Myers, “Dielectric-branes,” JHEP **9912**, 022 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9910053].

[29] M.R. Garousi, “On non-linear action of multiple M2-branes,” Nucl. Phys. **B809**, 519 (2009) [arXiv:0809.0985[hep-th]].

[30] J. Kluson, "D2 to M2 procedure for D2-brane DBI effective action," Nucl. Phys. **B808**, 260 (2009) [arXiv:0807.4054[hep-th]].

[31] M. Alishahiha and S. Mukhi, "Higher-derivative 3-algebras," JHEP **0810**, 032 (2008) [arXiv:0808.3067[hep-th]].

[32] T. Li, Y. Liu and D. Xie, "Multiple D2-brane action from M2-branes," arXiv:0807.1183[hep-th].

[33] B. Ezhuthachan, S. Mukhi and C. Papageorgakis, "The power of the Higgs mechanism: higher-derivative BLG theories," arXiv:0903.0003[hep-th].

[34] M. Li and T. Wang, "M2-branes coupled to antisymmetric fluxes," JHEP **0807**, 093 (2008) [arXiv:0805.3427[hep-th]].

[35] M.A. Ganjali, "Nambu-Poisson bracket and M-theory branes coupled to antisymmetric fluxes," arXiv:0811.2976[hep-th].

[36] M.A. Ganjali, "On dielectric membranes," arXiv:0901.2642[hep-th].

[37] M.R. Garousi, "A proposal for M2-brane-anti-M2-bane action," arXiv:0809.0381[hep-th].

[38] J. Kluson, "Note about unstable M3-brane action," Phys. Rev. **D79**, 026001 (2009) [arXiv:0810.0585[hep-th]].

[39] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, "Janus configurations, Chern-Simons couplings, and the theta-angle in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory," arXiv:0804.2907[hep-th]; K. Hosomichi, K.M. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, "$\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal Chern-Simons theories with hyper and twisted hyper multiplets," JHEP **0807**, 091 (2008) [arXiv:0805.3662[hep-th]].